arXiv:1210.4212v1 [quant-ph] 15 Oct 2012

Proposal for a weak measurement of photon arrival time

Holger F. Hofmann* and Changliang Ren
Graduate School of Advanced Sciences of Matter, Hiroshima University,
Kagamiyama 1-3-1, Higashi Hiroshima 739-8530, Japan and
JST, CREST, Sanbancho 5, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 102-0075, Japan

We show that a weak measurement of photon arrival time can be realized by controllable two photon interferences with photons from short-time reference pulses at a polarization beam splitter. If the weak measurement is followed by a measurement of frequency, the coincidence counts reveal the complete temporal coherence of the single photon wavefunction. Significantly, the weak values of the input state can be obtained at all measurement strengths, so that correlations between weak measurements on separate photons can be observed and evaluated without difficulty. The method can thus be used to directly observe the non-classical statistics of time-energy entangled photons.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ta, 42.50.Dv, 42.50.St, 42.65.Re

Photons are among the most accessible and well-controlled quantum systems available. The high level of coherence achieved by optical technologies makes it possible to observe a wide variety of quantum effects using spatial interference and polarization. It is also possible to use the temporal coherence of photon states to encode quantum information and to observe non-classical effects in time [1–8]. However, the time-energy degree of freedom is more difficult to access than polarization or spatial coherence, since linear optics cannot convert the frequency of photons, limiting the unitary operations that can be performed with passive linear elements to those that conserve photon energy. Moreover, time resolved detection is limited by the temporal resolution of detector systems, so that the coherence of broadband entanglement is difficult to measure and verify experimentally [9–13].

To overcome the low time resolution associated direct photon detection, it is interesting to consider measurement strategies that make use of quantum interactions between the signal photon and a probe photon in an appropriate initial state. In linear optics, such interactions occur due to two-photon interference effects. As has been shown in previous work [14, 15], a direct measurement of photon arrival time can be realized using the basic photon bunching effect caused by two-photon interference of a signal photon and a photon from a short-time reference pulse at a beam splitter. In the case of a single pulse, bunching is observed when the reference photon and the unknown input signal reach the beam splitter at the same time, but frequency information can only be obtained indirectly, by analyzing the bunching effects from temporal superposition states.

Here, we consider the possibility of measuring time and frequency information simultaneously by performing a frequency resolved measurement on the output state following a variable strength two-photon interference. In the limit of weak interaction strength, this corresponds to the post-selection of a frequency eigenstate following a weak measurement of the temporal eigenstate represented by the reference pulse [16]. The results of the weak measurements completely determine the quantum state, and permit a direct measurement of the temporal wavefunction of a photon [20, 21].

The experimental realization of the weak measurement can be achieved by using photon polarization as an auxiliary degree of freedom. The principle used to control the measurement interaction is then similar to the one used in the realization of a weak measurement of polarization with a linear optics phase gate [17–19], where the input and output polarizations of the probe photon are varied to obtain the desired effects. We show that a similar approach can be used to implement a variable strength projective measurement onto the temporal wavefunction defined by a specific reference pulse. Specifically, we explain how a specific combination of input and output polarizations at a polarizing beam splitter can be used to measure the real and imaginary parts of the weak values for the projection $\mid t\rangle\langle t \mid$ onto the short time reference state $\mid t\rangle$, conditioned by a post-selection measurement of photon energy.

In the following, we introduce the measurement procedure and characterize its effect for variable interaction strengths. The errors caused by the finite measurement strength are fully included in the analysis. Significantly, we can show that the intended weak valued result can be obtained at all measurement strengths, which greatly simplifies the measurement of temporal correlations between separate entangled photons. Our results thus indicate that the measurement procedure introduced here can provide complete information on the quantum coherence of temporal multi-photon wavefunctions, making the quantum features of the energy-time degree of freedom accessible to detailed experimental investigations of their non-classical properties.

We consider a simple experimental setup based on a single polarizing beam splitter that transmits horizontal (H) polarization and reflects vertical (V) polarization. If the signal photon and the reference photon are injected into the beam splitter from opposite sides, and only the detection of photons exiting from opposite sides is considered, the two-photon interference between the transmission and the reflection of both photons can be controlled by the choice of polarization in the input and the output of the beam splitter. A particularly simple method of control can be realized by selecting a diagonal polarization for the signal photon input, corresponding to the positive (P) superposition of H and V. The interaction strength can then be varied by rotating the polarization of the reference photon from H (only transmission, no interference) to P (equal superposition of transmission and reflection, maximal interference). The complete input photon states can be described as product states of the time-energy degree of freedom and the polarization,

$$\begin{aligned} \mid \text{signal}\rangle &= \mid \psi\rangle \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\mid H\rangle + \mid V\rangle\right) \\ \mid \text{reference}\rangle &= \mid \Phi_{\text{ref.}}\rangle \otimes \left(\cos\theta \mid H\rangle + \sin\theta \mid V\rangle\right), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $\mid \psi\rangle$ is the time-energy input state and $\mid \Phi_{\text{ref.}}\rangle$ represents the well-defined pulse shape of the reference photon.

We now consider only those beam splitter outputs where one photon is found in each output port. Thus, the two photon polarization states in the output can only be $\mid HH\rangle$ if both photons are transmitted, or $\mid VV\rangle$ if both photons are reflected. To obtain interference between these two components, it is necessary to apply polarization filtering to both output ports to eliminate the HV information. The polarizations selected should be equal superpositions of H and V, where the ellipticity of one of the polarizations can be used to select a specific phase ϕ for the superposition,

$$\begin{aligned} \mid \text{filter1}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\mid H\rangle + \mid V\rangle\right) \\ \mid \text{filter2}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\mid H\rangle + \mathrm{e}^{i\phi} \mid V\rangle\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

After the polarization filters are applied, the output state describes a two-photon interference between the temporal input states and the swapped temporal input states controlled in both strength and phase by the polarizations used in the input and the output,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mid \text{out}\rangle = \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\left(\cos\theta \mid \psi; \Phi_{\text{ref.}}\rangle - \mathrm{e}^{-i\phi}\sin\theta \mid \Phi_{\text{ref}}; \psi\rangle\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

For $\theta = \pi/4$ and $\phi = 0$, this two-photon interference effect corresponds to the standard photon bunching observed at a beam splitter of reflectivity 1/2, where only the anti-symmetric components of the two-photon wavefunction can contribute to the coincidence counts of photons detected in opposite output ports. However, we are particularly interested in the case of low θ, where most of the photons are transmitted and the output in the first port is still close to the original state of the input photon. We therefore assume that the photon in the second port is detected by a broadband detector without obtaining any time or frequency information. We can then trace out the quantum state of the photon in the second port and obtain a quantum operation that describes the transfer of the input state to the remaining output port. For an input density matrix $\hat{\rho} = \mid \psi\rangle\langle\psi \mid$ the output state of this process is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E(\rho) &= \hat{M}(\theta,\phi)\hat{\rho}\hat{M}^{\dagger}(\theta,\phi) \\ &\quad + \frac{(\sin\theta)^2}{8}\left(1 - \langle\Phi_{\text{ref.}} \mid \hat{\rho} \mid \Phi_{\text{ref.}}\rangle\right) \mid \Phi_{\text{ref.}}\rangle\langle\Phi_{\text{ref.}} \mid, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where the essential part of the measurement process is described by the operators

$$\hat{M}(\theta,\phi) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\cos\theta\left(\hat{I} - \mathrm{e}^{-i\phi}\tan\theta \mid \Phi_{\text{ref.}}\rangle\langle\Phi_{\text{ref.}} \mid\right). \quad (5)$$

This operator describes a phase sensitive superposition of the identity and a projection on the reference state $\mid \Phi_{\text{ref.}}\rangle$. The interaction strength is given by the angle θ of the reference polarization and can be varied between the weak limit at $\theta \ll 1$ and a maximally projective measurement at $\theta = \pi/4$. As we discuss below, this measurement operator can be used to determine the complex weak values of the projector at any measurement strength by varying the phase ϕ determined by the ellipticity in one of the output polarization.

Since frequency can be measured with conventional methods, it is natural to consider a weak measurement of time, realized by a short-time reference pulse with peak time t. For sufficiently short pulse times, the reference state $\mid \Phi_{\text{ref.}}\rangle = \mid t\rangle$ approximates an eigenstate of photon arrival time. If the weak measurement of time is followed by a final measurement of photon frequency, the coincidence count rates obtained at different times and frequencies are given by

$$\begin{aligned} C(\omega,t) &= \langle\omega \mid E(\hat{\rho}) \mid \omega\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{8}\cos^2\theta\langle\omega \mid \hat{\rho} \mid \omega\rangle + \frac{1}{8}\sin^2\theta|\langle\omega \mid t\rangle|^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}\sin\theta\cos\theta\,\mathrm{Re}\left(\mathrm{e}^{i\phi}\langle\omega \mid t\rangle\langle t \mid \hat{\rho} \mid \omega\rangle\right). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

In this count rate, the contribution of the weak value of $\mid t\rangle\langle t \mid$ is given by

$$\frac{\langle\omega \mid (\mid t\rangle\langle t \mid) \mid \psi\rangle}{\langle\omega \mid \psi\rangle} = \frac{\langle\omega \mid t\rangle\langle t \mid \hat{\rho} \mid \omega\rangle}{\langle\omega \mid \hat{\rho} \mid \omega\rangle}. \quad (7)$$

In the weak limit, this complex weak value can be obtained by the usual procedure, since its contribution to the output statistics is linear in θ, while the back-action effects from the projection represented by $|\langle\omega \mid t\rangle|^2$ is quadratic in θ. However, it is not necessary to operate in the weak limit, since the weak value is the only contribution to the count rate $C(\omega,t;\phi)$ that depends on the polarization phase ϕ determined by the ellipticity of one of the output photons. By varying ϕ in the output measurement, it is possible to identify the complex weak value in the amplitude and the phase of the visibility fringes observed in the count rate $C(\omega, t; \phi)$ using

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \mathrm{e}^{-i\phi} C(\omega, t; \phi) d\phi = \\ -\frac{1}{8}\sin\theta\cos\theta \;\; \langle \omega \mid t\rangle\langle t \mid \hat{\rho} \mid \omega\rangle. \tag{8}$$

With the proper normalization, this result is equal to the weak value in Eq.(7). It is therefore possible to observe weak values at intermediate measurement strengths, where the contrast between the minimal and the maximal count rates is much better than in the weak limit. Note that the variation of the polarization phase ϕ will also eliminate most accidental backgrounds. In particular, this means that weak coherent light can be used as a reference, since the contributions from multi-photon emissions do not depend on ϕ either, and therefore average out in the analysis.

Since time and frequency are complementary, the post-selection of frequency results is particularly sensitive to the phases of superpositions between different input times. It is therefore possible to observe a large number of quantum paradoxes in time, simply by choosing appropriate superpositions of short time pulses [7, 24–26]. However, the main advantage of accessing time and frequency information in the same measurement is that it permits a complete characterization of the time-energy states of photons [20–23]. Specifically, the phase sensitive part of the count rate $C(\omega, t; \phi)$ in Eq.(6) corresponds to the Kirkwood function representation of the density operator $\hat{\rho}$ [27], from which the complete operator can be reconstructed by

$$\hat{\rho} = \int \left(\langle \omega \mid t\rangle\langle t \mid \hat{\rho} \mid \omega\rangle\right) \frac{\mid t\rangle\langle \omega \mid}{\langle \omega \mid t\rangle}\, dt\, d\omega. \tag{9}$$

Thus the present measurement represents a particularly direct method of quantum state tomography, where the data obtained corresponds directly to a fundamental phase space representation of the quantum state.

For pure states, the results are even easier to interpret, as shown by Lundeen et al. in [20]. Specifically, the Kirkwood function of pure states consists of a product of the wavefunctions in time and in frequency. It is therefore possible to measure the temporal wavefunction by post-selecting only a single output frequency ω and scanning the peak time of the reference pulse. The normalized wavefunction is then given by

$$\psi(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_t}}\int_0^{2\pi} \mathrm{e}^{-i(\omega t+\phi)} C(\omega, t; \phi) d\phi, \tag{10}$$

where N_t is the normalization factor obtained by integrating the squared result over time. Likewise, it is possible to obtain the wavefunction in frequency representation by keeping the peak time t of the reference pulse fixed and taking the complete frequency spectrum in the final measurement. The wavefunction can then be obtained from the frequency dependence of the count rate by

$$\psi(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_\omega}}\int_0^{2\pi} \mathrm{e}^{i(\omega t+\phi)} C(\omega, t; \phi) d\phi, \tag{11}$$

where N_ω is the normalization factor obtained by integrating the squared result over all frequencies. It may be worth noting that dispersion effects appear as phase shifts in the frequency representation of the photon state, so that the above result can provide a prescription for the active compensation of unintended dispersion effects. In particular, it is possible to compress the pulse, corresponding to an optimization of the overlap between the photon state and the short-time pulse at t by a unitary transform conserving the frequency ω [28].

Since the measurement strength is not restricted to the weak limit, it is possible to apply the method to multi-photon states by interacting each photon with a separate reference pulse and measuring the coincidence count rates for all of the photons. For entangled photon pairs, the two photon wavefunction in time can then be obtained from the coincidence count rates post-selected at frequencies ω_1 and ω_2 while varying the local output polarizations ϕ_1 and ϕ_2. The complete entangled state can be obtained from

$$\psi_2(t_1, t_2) = \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{2t}}}\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \mathrm{e}^{-i(\omega_1 t_1+\omega_2 t_2+\phi_1+\phi_2)} \\ \times\; C(\omega_1, t_1; \omega_2, t_2; \phi_1, \phi_2)\; d\phi_1 d\phi_2, \tag{12}$$

where N_{2t} is the normalization of the two-photon wavefunction. Thus, the present method permits a particularly direct characterization of the time-energy entanglement of photon pairs generated in broad-band down conversion processes or similar quantum optical processes.

In conclusion, we have shown that a weak measurement of time can be realized by controllable two-photon interference at a polarizing beam splitter. By using short-time reference pulses, the measurement method provides access to the full temporal and spectral coherence of the quantum state describing the time-energy degree of freedom. In combination with a final measurement of frequency, a complete description of the input state is obtained directly from the measurement data in the form of the complex-valued Kirkwood function. For pure states, the measurement data obtained at fixed output frequency corresponds to the temporal wavefunction, and the data obtained at fixed time corresponds to the spectral wavefunction. Significantly, the relevant weak value data can be obtained at any measurement strength, so that the method can also be applied to multi-photon states. In particular, the two-photon wavefunctions of time-energy entangled photon pairs can be obtained from the correlated four-photon coincidences obtained by performing separate measurements on the two entangled photons. The experimental procedure proposed here could therefore be extremely helpful in opening up new possibilities for quantum information processes with time-energy entangled photons.

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 24540427.

---

* hofmann@hiroshima-u.ac.jp

[1] W. Tittel, J. Brendel, H. Zbinden, and N. Gisin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 4737(2000).
[2] H. Zbinden, J. Brendel, N. Gisin, and W. Tittel, Phys. Rev. A **63**, 022111 (2001).
[3] I. Marcikic, H. de Riedmatten, W. Tittel, V. Scarani, H. Zbinden, and N. Gisin, Phys. Rev. A **66**, 062308 (2002).
[4] I. Marcikic, H. de Riedmatten, W. Tittel, H. Zbinden, and N. Gisin, Nature (London) **421**, 509 (2003).
[5] H. de Riedmatten, I. Marcikic, W. Tittel, H. Zbinden, D. Collins, and N. Gisin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 047904 (2004).
[6] A. Cabello, A. Rossi, G. Vallone, F. De Martini, and P. Mataloni, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 040401 (2009).
[7] G. Vallone, P. Mataloni, and A. Cabello, Phys. Rev. A **81**, 032105 (2010)
[8] A. Fedrizzi, M. P. Almeida, M. A. Broome, A. G. White, and M. Barbieri, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 200402 (2011).
[9] S. E. Harris, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 063602 (2007).
[10] M. B. Nasr, S. Carrasco, B. E. A. Saleh, A. V. Sergienko, M. C. Teich, J. P. Torres, L. Torner, D. S. Hum, and M. M. Fejer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 183601 (2008).
[11] K. A. ODonnell and A. B. URen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 123602 (2009).
[12] J. Svozilik and J. Perina, Phys. Rev. A **80**, 023819 (2009).
[13] S. Sensarn, G. Y. Yin, and S. E. Harris, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 253602 (2010).
[14] W. Wasilewski, P. Kolenderski, and R. Frankowski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 123601 (2007).
[15] C. Ren and H. F. Hofmann, Phys. Rev. A **84**, 032108 (2011).
[16] Y. Aharonov, D.Z. Albert, and L. Vaidman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60**, 1351(1988).
[17] G.J. Pryde, J.L. O'Brien, A.G. White, S.D. Bartlett, and T.C. Ralph, *Phys. Rev. Lett.***92**, 190402 (2004).
[18] G.J. Pryde, J.L. O'Brien, A.G. White, T.C. Ralph, and H.M. Wiseman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 220405 (2005).
[19] T. C. Ralph, S. D. Bartlett, J. L. OBrien, G. J. Pryde, and H. M. Wiseman, Phys. Rev. A **73**, 012113 (2006).
[20] J. S. Lundeen, B. Sutherland, A. Patel, C. Stewart, and C. Bamber, Nature (London) **474**, 188 (2011).
[21] J. S. Lundeen and C. Bamber, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 070402 (2012).
[22] H. F. Hofmann, New J. Phys. **14**, 043031 (2012).
[23] H. F. Hofmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 020408 (2012).
[24] K. J. Resch, J.S. Lundeen, and A.M. Steinberg, Phys. Lett. A **324**, 125 (2004).
[25] J.S. Lundeen and A.M. Steinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 020404 (2009).
[26] K. Yokota, T. Yamamoto, M. Koashi, and N. Imoto, New J. Phys. **11**, 033011 (2009).
[27] J. G. Kirkwood, Phys. Rev. **44**, 31 (1933).
[28] H. F. Hofmann, New J. Phys. **13**, 103009 (2011).